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What is *Cloud Robotics*?

Cloud services providing computation, data and/or storage to support the operation of a robot.
Image recognition

Explore the Cognitive Services APIs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vision</th>
<th>Speech</th>
<th>Language</th>
<th>Knowledge</th>
<th>Search</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Computer Vision" /></td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="Video Indexer" /></td>
<td><img src="image3" alt="Custom Vision" /></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Computer Vision**
  - Distill actionable information from images
- **Video Indexer (PREVIEW)**
  - Unlock video insights
- **Custom Vision (PREVIEW)**
  - Easily customise your own state-of-the-art computer vision models for your unique use case

- **Face**
  - Detect, identify, analyse, organise and tag faces in photos
- **Content moderator**
  - Automated image, text and video moderation

Google Lens
What is a *robot*?

Movable machine that performs tasks either automatically or with a degree of autonomy
What are the main legal and regulatory issues in relation to Cloud Robotics?

- Regulatory framework for cloud robotics is fragmented and uncertain.
- Cloud robotics ecosystem is complex and opaque, and it challenges the allocation of responsibilities between the parties.
- There is literature supporting the idea of holding the autonomous robots liable.
- Cloud robotics raises data protection considerations in relation to transparency, data controller/processor identification and data portability.
The regulatory framework for cloud robotics is fragmented and uncertain.
What is regulated in *Cloud Robotics*?

- No regulatory framework developed specifically for cloud robotics
- As emerging technology
  - **Products**
    - Directive 2001/95/EC on general product safety
    - Directive 85/374/EEC on liability for defective products
  - **Context of use**
    - Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC may regulate industrial robots
    - Regulation 2017/745 on medical devices may apply to robots with an intended medical purposes
    - Directive 2009/48/EC on the safety on toys may be relevant for robot toys
  - **Parts**
    - Low Voltage Directive 2014/35/EU might apply to some service robots
    - Electromagnetic Compatibility Directive 2014/30/EU
    - Radio Equipment Directive 2014/53/EU may apply to robots incorporating a GPS
  - **Personal Data: GDPR**
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---

"complex and sophisticated interdependencies both within products (based on hardware and software) and across interconnected services" challenge the legal certainty regarding the applicable legal framework

(EC Building a European Data Economy COM(2017) 9 final)

This challenges the concepts of:

- Product
- Producer
- Defect

---

Cloud Robotics Law and Regulation
MCCRC 5th Annual Symposium - Sept 2018
What is regulated in *Cloud Robotics*?

- No regulatory framework developed specifically for cloud robotics
- As emerging technology
  - **Products**
    - Directive 2001/95/EC on general product safety
    - Directive 85/374/EEC on liability for defective products
  - **Context of use**
    - Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC may regulate industrial robots
    - Regulation 2017/745 on medical devices may apply to robots with an intended medical purposes
    - Directive 2009/48/EC on the safety on toys may be relevant for robot toys
  - **Parts**
    - Low Voltage Directive 2014/35/EU might apply to some service robots
    - Electromagnetic Compatibility Directive 2014/30/EU
    - Radio Equipment Directive 2014/53/EU may apply to robots incorporating a GPS
- **Personal Data: GDPR**

Robots outside the industrial environment and collaborative robotic systems are excluded from current harmonized standards.
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- Technology-neutral regulation
- Translational problem between general principles and concrete actions
Cloud robotics ecosystem is complex and opaque, and it challenges the allocation of responsibilities between the parties.
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There is literature supporting the idea of holding the autonomous robots liable.
● **Responsibility gap**: if a robot learns as it operates, and the robot itself can, in the course of its operation, change the rules by which it acts, then there is no reason why humans should be held responsible for the autonomous behaviours of such a robot.

○ EP persuaded by this analysis: **specific legal status for robots in the form of electronic persons**, and to **hold them responsible when causing damage**

○ Divided expert opinion
Robots using cloud services operate as a part of a complex system comprising various components that may entail multiple processes over which different persons, natural or legal, exercise control and, therefore, might be held responsible.

Technological complexity should not, in itself, be a reason for removing liability which might otherwise arise.
Cloud robotics raises data protection considerations in relation to transparency, data controller/processor identification and data portability.
Ensuring transparency in cloud robotics may be challenging

- Users do not identify robots as data processing devices
- Contested ‘right to explanation’

EP: “it should always be possible to supply the rationale behind any decision taken with the aid of AI that can have a substantive impact on one or more persons’ lives; (...) it must always be possible to reduce the AI system’s computations to a form comprehensible by humans; (...)”.
algorithm

al-guh-rith-uh m

noun

1. A word used by programmers when they don’t want to explain what they did
● It may be **difficult** to identify **controllers** and **processors** of personal data
  ○ interactions and communications involving, robot2robot, robot2cloud, person2robot, sensors2cloud, sensors2robot, provider2processor, provider2subprovider, etc.

● The **tangible aspect of cloud robotics** challenges **data portability**
How to address the issues in relation to Cloud Robotics?

- Multi-impact assessment
- Multidisciplinary testing zones
- Uncertainty re the attribution of legal personality to autonomous robots

- Insurance schema could be considered + central fund to cover losses
  - For which type of robots
  - In which circumstances
  - Cyber-risk insurance for cloud robotics

- Alternatives models of liability: no-fault compensation model
Concluding remarks

- The growing interdependence between robots and cloud services raises a number of legal and regulatory challenges.

- The dual cyber-physical nature of cloud robotics, the complexity of delivery ecosystems, and the number of actors with potential obligations and rights, give rise to some difficult challenges.

- Future regulatory initiatives addressing cloud robotics should take into account the complex and dynamic inter-dependence of the tangible and virtual elements of such systems.

- Care should also be taken to avoid hasty and cumbersome interventions that might be a chilling factor at a time when the potential benefits of cloud robotics are just starting to emerge.
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